arXiv:1605.02787v1 [math.NT] 9 May 2016

A MORDELL–WEIL THEOREM FOR CUBIC HYPERSURFACES OF HIGH DIMENSION

STEFANOS PAPANIKOLOPOULOS AND SAMIR SIKSEK

Abstract. Let $X/\mathbb{Q}$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 1$. It is well-known that new rational points may be obtained from old ones by secant and tangent constructions. In view of the Mordell–Weil theorem for $n = 1$, Manin (1968) asked if there exists a finite set S from which all other rational points can be thus obtained. We give an affirmative answer for $n \geq 48$, showing in fact that we can take the generating set S to consist of just one point. Our proof makes use of a weak approximation theorem due to Skinner, a theorem of Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown on the existence of rational points on the intersection of a quadric and cubic in large dimension, and some elementary ideas from differential geometry, algebraic geometry and numerical analysis.

1. Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension n. Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a line defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. If ℓ is not contained in X then $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$ where P, Q, $R \in X$. If any two of P, Q, R are rational then so is the third. If $S \subseteq X(\mathbb{Q})$, we write $\mathrm{Span}(S)$ for the subset of $X(\mathbb{Q})$ generated from S by successive secant and tangent constructions. More formally, we define a sequence

$$S = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X(\mathbb{Q})$$

by letting S_{n+1} be the set of points $R \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that either $R \in S_n$, or for some $\mathbb{Q}$-line $\ell \not\subset X$ we have $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$ where P, $Q \in S_n$. Then $\mathrm{Span}(S) := \cup S_n$. Manin [7, page 3] asks if there is some finite subset $S \subset X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\mathrm{Span}(S) = X(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 48$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists a point $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that* $\mathrm{Span}(A) = X(\mathbb{Q})$.

We are grateful to Tim Browning, Simon Rydin Myerson, Michael Stoll and Damiano Testa for useful discussions.

1.1. **Notation.** Throughout $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension n defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (for now $n \geq 2$). Thus there is some non-zero homogeneous cubic polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$ such that X is given by the equation

$$X \ : \ F(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Date: May 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G35, Secondary 14J70.

Key words and phrases. cubic hypersurfaces, rational points, Mordell–Weil problem.

Siksek is supported by the EPSRC *LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms* Programme Grant EP/K034383/1.

For $P \in X$ we let $T_P X$ denote the tangent plane to X at P:

$$T_P X \ : \ \nabla F(P) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

The Gauss map on X sends P to $T_P X \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1^*}$. We let $X_P := X \cap T_P X$. Thus

$$X_P \ : \ \begin{cases} F(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = 0, \\ \nabla F(P) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In Section 4 we introduce the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$, and the Hessian $H_F(P)$. We write $\mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)$ for the Grassmannian parametrizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Throughout the terms 'open' and 'closed' will be with respect to the real topology, unless prefixed by 'Zariski'.

1.2. **A sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.** We show in Section 5 that if $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ is not an Eckardt point then $X_B(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B)$ (the definition of Eckardt points is given in Section 4). Fix $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ that is non-Eckardt. Given $D \in X(\mathbb{Q})$, we ask if there is $C \in X_B(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $D \in X_C(\mathbb{Q})$? If so, then provided C is non-Eckardt, we have $D \in \mathrm{Span}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B)$. The answer to this question is positive provided the variety $Y_{B,D} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by

$$Y_{B,D} \ : \ \begin{cases} F(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = 0 \\ \nabla F(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \cdot D = 0 \\ \nabla F(B) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

has a rational point. A theorem of Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown allows us to deduce the existence of a rational point under some conditions, the most important being that n is large, and that $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point. By considering the second fundamental form, and using a theorem on weak approximation for cubic hypersurfaces due to Skinner, we shall show the existence of a point $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$, so that $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point for all $D \in U$. It follows (with a little care) that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Once the existence of such a set U is established, we use Mordell–Weil operations to enlarge U and quickly complete the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Some results from analytic number theory

2.1. **Weak Approximation.** We shall need the following theorem of Skinner [10].

Theorem 2 (Skinner)**.** *Suppose $n \geq 15$. Then X satisfies weak approximation.*

This means that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $X(A_{\mathbb{Q}})$ where $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the adeles. It follows that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $X(\mathbb{R})$; a fact we use repeatedly in the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose $n \geq 15$. Let U, $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be disjoint open sets. Let $A' \in U$, $B' \in V$, and let $\ell' \not\subset X$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-line such that $\ell' \cdot X = 2A' + B'$. Then there are $A \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$, $B \in V \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-line $\ell \not\subset X$ such that $\ell \cdot X = 2A + B$.*

Proof. The projectivized tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_X$ of X parametrizes pairs (P, ℓ) with $P \in X$ and ℓ a line tangent to X at P. We make use of the fact that $\mathcal{T}_X$ is locally trivial; thus there is a Zariski open $\mathcal{U}$ containing A', and a local isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \to \mathcal{T}_X$ such that $\varphi(P, \alpha) = (P, \ell_{P,\alpha})$ where $\ell_{P,\alpha}$ is a line tangent to X at P. Moreover, as A' is real we take φ to be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $W = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}) \cap U$ which is necessarily an open neighbourhood of A'. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\ell' = \ell_{A',\alpha}$. By Theorem 2 we can find $\{A_i\} \subset W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ converging to A'. Write $\ell_i = \ell_{A_i,\alpha}$.

Then $\{\ell_i\}$ converges to ℓ' in $\mathbb{G}(n+1,1)(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, for sufficiently large i, the line ℓ_i meets V. Let $A = A_i \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ for any such large i. Choosing a line $\ell/\mathbb{Q}$ tangent to X at A that sufficiently approximates ℓ_i completes the proof. □

2.2. **Intersections of a cubic with a quadric.** Let Q, $C \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ be a pair of forms of degrees 2 and 3 respectively, such that

$$Y \ : \ C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0, \qquad Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

is a complete intersection $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$. Using the circle method, Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown establish various sufficient conditions for Y to have a rational point. We recount one of their theorems [1, Theorem 4] which will be essential to our proof of Theorem 1. Define $\mathrm{ord}_Q(C)$ as the least non-negative integer m such that $C = LQ + C'$, with linear form $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$, and such that there is a matrix $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ with $C'(\mathbf{T}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

Theorem 3 (Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown)**.** *With notation as above, suppose $k \geq 49$ and $\mathrm{ord}_Q(C) \geq 17$. If Y has a smooth real point then $Y(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let f, q, $l \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]$, be forms of degree 3, 2, 1. Write*

$$Z \ : \ f(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = q(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = l(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

for their common locus of zeros in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Suppose that

(i) *the cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by f is smooth;*
(ii) *Z has a smooth real point;*
(iii) *$n \geq 48$.*

Then Z has a rational point.

Proof. By a non-singular change of variable, we may suppose that $l = x_0$. Let

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = f(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}), \qquad q'(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = q(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

We may therefore consider Z as being given in $\mathbb{P}^n$ as the common locus of $f' = q' = 0$. Suppose $\mathrm{ord}_{q'}(f') \leq 16$. Then a further non-singular change of variables allows us to write

$$f = x_0 q_0 + l' q' + h(x_1, \dots, x_{16}).$$

where q_0 is a quadratic form, l' is a linear form, and h is a cubic form. Now as $n \geq 48$, there is a common zero in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ to

$$x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{16} = l' = q_0 = q' = 0.$$

This gives a singular point on the cubic hypersurface $f = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ contradicting (i). We may thus suppose that $\mathrm{ord}_{q'}(f') \geq 17$. A similar argument shows that $f' = q' = 0$ defines a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n$. By (ii) this intersection has a smooth real point. Applying Theorem 3 with $k = n+1$ completes the proof. □

3. A Numerical Stability Criterion

3.1. **Newton–Raphson.** We need a rigorous version of the multivariate Newton–Raphson method. The following result is part of Theorem 5.3.2 of [11]. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm (both for vectors and for matrices). For differentiable $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, denote the Jacobian matrix by $J_{\mathbf{f}}$:

$$J_{\mathbf{f}} := \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $\mathcal{C}_0$ be convex such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and continuous for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$.*

For $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ let r, α, β, γ, h be given with the following properties:

$$\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0) := \{\, \mathbf{x} \,:\, \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\| < r\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0, \qquad h := \alpha\beta\gamma/2 < 1, \qquad r := \alpha/(1-h),$$

and let $\mathbf{f}$ satisfy:

(i) $\|J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y})\| \le \gamma\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$ *for all* $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}_0$;
(ii) $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ *exists and satisfies* $\|J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\| \le \beta$ *for all* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_0$;
(iii) $\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}\| \le \alpha$.

Then beginning at $\mathbf{x}_0$ each point

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_k)^{-1}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Moreover the limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{x}_k = \boldsymbol{\xi}$ exists, belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)}$ and satisfies $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{0}$.

3.2. **Stability.** For $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ we shall let $\|f\|$ denote the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of f.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be polynomials with $m \le n$. Let $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a common zero of $g_1, \ldots, g_m$, such that $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \ldots, \nabla g_m(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ are linearly independent. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is $\delta > 0$ such that if $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfy $\|f_i - g_i\| < \delta$, then there is $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

(a) *$\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is a common zero to $f_1, \ldots, f_m$;*
(b) *$\nabla f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \ldots, \nabla f_m(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ are linearly independent;*
(c) *$\|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Choose $\mathbf{v}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \ldots, \nabla g_m(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \mathbf{v}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis. Let

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \qquad i = m+1, \ldots, n.$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is a common zero to $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ and $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \ldots, \nabla g_n(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ are linearly independent. Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$. Then $J_{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is invertible. We shall fix $f_i = g_i$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, n$, and let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. We will apply Theorem 4 with $\mathbf{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{\zeta}$. There is some $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_i - g_i\| < \delta_0$ then $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is invertible. Choose $0 < r_0 \le \varepsilon$ so that condition (ii) of the theorem is satisfied for some $\beta > 0$, with $\mathcal{C}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{B}_{r_0}(\mathbf{x}_0)}$. Condition (i) holds for some $\gamma > 0$ by the multivariate Taylor Theorem. Let $\alpha = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}\|$, which depends on $\mathbf{f}$. Now $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$, so clearly if $\delta \to 0$, then $\alpha \to 0$. Therefore for sufficiently small $\delta < \delta_0$, we have $h := \alpha\beta\gamma/2 < 1$ and $r := \alpha/(1-h) < r_0$. By the theorem, there is $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)}$ such that $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{0}$. By construction $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ satisfies (a), (b), (c). □

3.3. **Smooth Real Points on the Varieties $Y_{B,D}$.**

Lemma 3.2. *Let B, $D' \in X(\mathbb{R})$ such that the variety $Y_{B,D'} \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by (2) has a smooth real point C'. Let $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be an open neighbourhood of C'. Then there is an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of D', such that for every $D \in U$, the variety $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point $C \in V$.*

Proof. We may suppose that B, C', D' are contained in the affine patch $x_0 = 1$. Let G_1, G_2, G_3 be the three polynomials defining $Y_{B,D'}$ in (2) and let g_1, g_2, $g_3 \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$ be their dehomogenizations by $x_0 = 1$. Write f_1, f_2, f_3 for

the corresponding polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$ defining $Y_{B,D} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ with $D \in X(\mathbb{R}) \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$. Of course $f_1 = g_1$, $f_3 = g_3$, and moreover

$$\|f_2 - g_2\| \leq \mu \cdot \|D - D'\|_\infty$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a constant and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the infinity norm in the affine patch $x_0 = 1$ (which we identify with $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$). Now $C' \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a common zero for g_1, g_2, g_3 with $\nabla g_1(C')$, $\nabla g_2(C')$, $\nabla g_3(C')$ linearly independent (as C' is now a smooth point on the affine patch $Y_{B,D'} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small so that $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(C') \cap X(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in V. Applying Lemma 3.1, we know that if $\|D - D'\|_\infty$ is sufficiently small then there is a non-zero vector $C \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(C')$ that is a common zero for f_1, f_2, f_3 with $\nabla f_1(C)$, $\nabla f_2(C)$, $\nabla f_3(C)$ linearly independent. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $n \geq 48$. Let $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose $D' \in X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Y_{B,D'}$ has a smooth real point C'. Then there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that if $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$, then $Y_{B,D}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let U be as in Lemma 3.2. Then $Y_{B,D}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and has a smooth real point for every $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. Now the lemma follows from Corollary 2.2. □

4. A Little Geometry

4.1. **Lines on X.** The following is well-known (for a proof, see [9, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let ℓ be a line contained in X and $P \in \ell$. Then $\ell \subset T_P X$.*

4.2. **The second fundamental form.** Let $P \in X$. Associated to P is a quadratic form (well-defined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar) known as the second fundamental form which we denote by $\Pi_P X$, and which is defined as the differential of the Gauss map (e.g. [4], [5, Chapter 17]). For our purpose the following explicit recipe given in [4, pages 369–370] is useful. By carrying out a non-singular change of coordinates we may suppose P is $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$, and the tangent plane $T_P X$ to X at P given by $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then X has the equation $F = 0$ with

$$F = x_0^2 x_{n+1} + x_0 q(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) + c(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \tag{3}$$

where q and c are homogeneous of degree 2 and 3 respectively. Write $z_1 = x_1/x_0, \ldots, z_{n+1} = x_{n+1}/x_0$. We can take $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ as local coordinates for X at P, and then X is given by the local equation

$$z_{n+1} = q'(z_1, \ldots, z_n) + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

Here $q'(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = -q(z_1, \ldots, z_n, 0)$. The second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is the quadratic form $q'(dz_1, \ldots, dz_n)$ (up to scaling). We shall only be concerned with the rank and signature of $\Pi_P X$, which are precisely the rank and signature of $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0)$ and so we will take this as the second fundamental form. We may therefore view it as the restriction of q to $T_P X$. The following follows easily from the above description and the implicit function theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $\Pi_P X$ has full rank n.*

(i) *If $\Pi_P X$ is definite then there is an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X_P(\mathbb{R}) = \{P\}$.*
(ii) *If $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite then for every open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of P the intersection contains a real manifold of dimension $n - 1$.*

Lemma 4.3. *There is a non-empty subset $U_1 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$, open in the real topology, such that for $P \in U_1$ the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite of full rank.*

Proof. A theorem of Landsberg [6, Theorem 6.1] asserts that at a general point on smooth hypersurface of degree ≥ 2, the second fundamental form has full rank. Thus there is a Zariski open $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ such that $\Pi_P X$ has full rank for $P \in \mathcal{U}$.

A straightforward application of Bertini's Theorem shows the existence of a real 3-dimensional linear subvariety $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that $X' = \Lambda \cap X$ is a smooth real cubic surface. A classical theorem of Schläfli asserts that the number of real lines on smooth real cubic surface is either 3, 7, 15 or 27. Let $\ell \subset \Lambda \cap X$ be a real line. By [9, Lemma 2.2] all but at most two points of $\ell(\mathbb{R})$ are hyperbolic for X'. Let $Q \in \ell(\mathbb{R})$ be a hyperbolic point for X'. The determinant of the second fundamental form $\Pi_Q X'$ is the Gaussian curvature of X' at Q, which is negative. It follows that the binary quadratic form $\Pi_Q X'$ is indefinite. Now $\Pi_Q X'$ is the restriction of $\Pi_Q X$ to $T_Q X'$ and so $\Pi_Q X$ is indefinite. Thus there is a neighbourhood $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of Q, open in the real topology, such that $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite for $P \in V$. Now V is necessarily Zariski-dense in X. Thus $V \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is non-empty (as well as being open in the real topology). The proof is complete upon letting $U_1 = V \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$. □

4.3. **The Hessian.** Given $P \in X$, the Hessian of F evaluated at P is given by the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix

$$H_F(P) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(P) \right)_{i,j=0,\ldots,n+1}.$$

Of course the Hessian is well-defined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $P \in X$ and suppose $\Pi_P(X)$ has full rank n. Then $H_F(P)$ has full rank $n+2$.*

Proof. Starting from (3), an easy computation shows that the determinant of the Hessian at P is (up to sign) the determinant of $q(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0)$. □

4.4. **Eckardt Points.** We call $P \in X$ an *Eckardt point* if $X_P := X \cap T_P X$ is a cone with vertex at P. Note that if $n = 2$ and P is an Eckardt point then X_P consists of three lines meeting at P; in this case $\Pi_P X$ vanishes identically.

For a proof of the following classical theorem see [3, Section 2].

Theorem 5. *The set of Eckardt points on X is finite.*

4.5. **Components of a Real Cubic Hypersurface.** We summarize briefly some well-known facts about components of real cubic hypersurfaces. Everything we need is actually contained in [12, Section 4.3]. A smooth real cubic hypersurface has either one or two connected components. If it has two connected components then one of these is two-sided, and homeomorphic to S^n, and the other is one-sided and homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^n$. If a line intersects the two-sided component then it intersects it in two points, and intersects the odd-sided component in one point.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $X(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components. Then $\Pi_P X$ is definite of full rank for all P belonging to the two-sided component.*

Proof. Let P be a point on the two-sided component. Suppose $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite or not of full rank. Then there is a real line $\ell \subset T_P X$ (along which $\Pi_P X$ vanishes) that meets X with multiplicity ≥ 3 at P. As this is impossible for points on the two-sided component, we have a contradiction. □

5. Mordell–Weil Generation: First Steps

Proposition 5.1. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a non-Eckardt point. Then the set $X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ (considered as a subset of $X(\mathbb{Q})$) is contained in* $\mathrm{Span}(P)$.

The following lemma follows from the definitions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ be distinct from P. Suppose the line ℓ joining P to Q is not contained in X. Then $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$.*

For the proof of Proposition 5.1 it remains to show that $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$ in the case $\ell \subset X$. For $n = 2$ this is [9, Lemma 3.2], so we suppose for the remainder of this section that $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.3. *Any hyperplane section of X is absolutely irreducible.*

Proof. Let $L = 0$ be a hyperplane such that $X \cap \{L = 0\}$ is absolutely reducible. Then we can write $F = LQ + L'Q'$ where L, L' are homogeneous linear, and Q, Q' are homogeneous quadratic. As $n \geq 3$, the variety $L = L' = Q = Q' = 0$ has a point $R \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. It follows that R is a singular on X giving a contradiction. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a non-Eckardt point. Let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ with $T_Q X \neq T_P X$. Then $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq X_P$ be the subvariety consisting of lines through P contained in X_P. As P is a non-Eckardt point, $\mathcal{W}$ is a proper subvariety. Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, the tangent plane section X_P is irreducible, and so $\dim(\mathcal{W}) < \dim(X_P)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = X_P - \mathcal{W}$ which is Zariski dense in X_P.

Let $\mathcal{V} := X_P \setminus (X_P \cap T_Q)$. As $T_Q \neq T_P$, this is a dense open subset of X_P. Let $\iota : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ be the involution given as follows. If $R \in \mathcal{V}$ we join R to Q by the line $\ell_{R,Q}$ and we let $\iota(R)$ be the third point of intersection of this line with X. We note that $\ell_{R,Q} \not\subset X$, since otherwise it will be contained in $T_Q X$ by Lemma 4.1. Now $(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U}) \cap \iota(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U})$ is a Zariski dense subset of the rational variety X_P. This dense subset must contain a rational point R. Then R, $\iota(R) \notin \mathcal{W}$ and so R, $\iota(R) \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$ by Lemma 5.2. Finally the line joining R with $\iota(R)$ passes through Q and is not contained in X. Thus $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(R)$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$. We would like to show that $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$. Thanks to Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4, we may suppose there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-line $\ell \subset X$ containing P, Q, and $T_Q X = T_P X$. Now the line ℓ contains at most finitely many Eckardt points by Theorem 5. Moreover, the Gauss map on a smooth hypersurface has finite fibres [5, Lecture 15]. Thus there is a non-Eckardt $R \in \ell(\mathbb{Q})$ with $T_R X \neq T_P X$. It follows that $R \in \mathrm{Span}(P)$. Moreover, $Q \in \ell \subset T_R$ by Lemma 4.1 and so $Q \in \mathrm{Span}(R)$ (again by Lemma 5.4). This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose $n \geq 48$. Let $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ so that X_B does not contain points that are Eckardt for X. Suppose $D' \in X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Y_{B,D'}$ has a smooth real point C'. Then there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B)$.*

Proof. Take U to be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. By the conclusion of Lemma 3.3 we see that $Y_{B,D}$ has a rational point C. From the equations defining $Y_{B,D}$ in (2) we have that $C \in X_B(\mathbb{Q})$ and $D \in X_C(\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, neither B nor C (both contained in X_B) are Eckardt points. Applying Proposition 5.1, we have $C \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$ and $D \in \mathrm{Span}(C)$ completing the proof. □

6. A Smoothness Criterion

Lemma 6.1. *Let $B \in X$, Let $C' \in X_B$ and $D' \in X_{C'}$. Suppose*

(i) $T_{C'}X \neq T_B X$;
(ii) $H_F(C')$ *has full rank, where* H_F *is the Hessian matrix;*
(iii) D' *does not belong to the line*

$$\{ (\lambda \nabla F(B) + \mu \nabla F(C')) \cdot H_F(C')^{-1} \quad : \quad (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \}. \tag{4}$$

Then C' is a smooth point on the variety $Y_{B,D'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by (2).

Proof. As $C' \in X_B$ and $D' \in X_{C'}$ we see that $C' \in Y_{B,D'}$. We need to show that C' is a smooth point on $Y_{B,D'}$. Write

$$f(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \nabla F(x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \cdot D', \qquad g = \nabla F(B) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}).$$

To show that C' is smooth on $Y_{B,D'}$ it is enough to show that $\nabla F(C')$, $\nabla f(C')$ and $\nabla g(C')$ are linearly independent. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\nabla f(C') = D' \cdot H_F(C'), \qquad \nabla g(C') = \nabla F(B).$$

Suppose

$$\varepsilon D' \cdot H_F(C') + \lambda \nabla F(B) + \mu \nabla F(C') = 0.$$

By assumptions (ii) and (iii) we see that $\varepsilon = 0$. However, $\nabla F(B)$ and $\nabla F(C')$ are linearly independent by assumption (i), and so $\lambda = \mu = 0$. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section $n \geq 48$.

Lemma 7.1. *There is $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that:*

(i) $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(A)$;
(ii) $\mathrm{Span}(A)$ *contains at least one point in every connected component of* $X(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Suppose first that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is connected. Let $U_1 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be the non-empty open subset whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.3: for every $P \in U_1$, the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite of full rank. It follows from Theorem 5 that the set of points P with X_P containing an Eckardt point is a proper subset of X that is closed in the Zariski topology. Thus we may replace U_1 by a non-empty open set $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ such that for every $P \in U_2$, the subvariety X_P does not points that are Eckardt for X. Fix $B \in U_2 \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2. The hypersurface X is smooth of degree 3, and so the Gauss map $X \to X^*$ has finite fibres [5, Lecture 15]. We can therefore take an open neighbourhood $U_3 \subseteq U_2$ of B such that for all $C' \in U_3$ with $C' \neq B$, we have $T_B X \neq T_{C'} X$. By Lemma 4.2, the intersection $U_3 \cap X_B(\mathbb{R})$ contains a real manifold of dimension $n-1$; choose $C' \in U_3 \cap X_B(\mathbb{R})$ with $C' \neq B$. As the second fundamental form has full rank on U_3, we see from Lemma 4.4 that $H_F(C')$ is of full rank $n+2$. Now again by Lemma 4.2, the intersection $U_3 \cap X_{C'}(\mathbb{R})$ contains a manifold of real dimension $n-1$, and so we can find $D' \in U_3 \cap X_{C'}(\mathbb{R})$ that avoids the line (4). The points B, C', D' satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6.1. Thus C' is a smooth point on $Y_{B,D'}$. By Lemma 5.5, there is a non-empty open U such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B)$. We simply take $A = B$, and the proof is complete in the case when $X(\mathbb{R})$ is connected.

Now suppose $X(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components. Let $U_2 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be as above. From Lemma 4.5 we know that U_2 is contained in the one-sided component. Let $B' \in U_2$. Let ℓ' be a real line passing through B' and tangent to the two-sided

component at a point A'. By Corollary 2.1, there is a point $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ belonging to the two-sided component and a line ℓ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\ell \cdot X = 2A + B$ where $B \in U_2 \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. Now $B \in \mathrm{Span}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Span}(A)$ contains points belonging to both components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. From the above argument there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(B) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(A)$. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be as in Lemma 7.1. Then there is an open $W \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $W \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Span}(A)$.*

Proof. Let U be as in Lemma 7.1. We may suppose $\mathrm{Span}(A) \not\subset U$, otherwise we simply take $W = U$ and there is nothing to prove. Let $P \in \mathrm{Span}(A)$ that does not belong to U. By Theorem 2, there is some $P' \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $P' \notin T_P X$. Let ℓ be the line joining P to P'. The line ℓ is not contained in $T_P X$ and so, by Lemma 4.1, not contained in X. Let $P'' \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be the third point of intersection of ℓ with X. Since $P \in \mathrm{Span}(A)$ and $P' \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(A)$, we have $P'' \in \mathrm{Span}(A)$. Observe that ℓ is not contained in the tangent plane of P'' (for otherwise ℓ would be contained in X). Now there is some non-empty open $U' \subset U$ containing P' that is disjoint from the tangent plane of P''. For a point $R \in U'$, let $\varphi(R)$ denote the third point of intersection of the (real) line joining R to P''. Then the map $\varphi : U' \to X(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and injective. By the Invariance of Domain Theorem [2, Corollary IV.19.9], the image $\varphi(U')$ is open. We shall let $W_P = \varphi(U')$. Clearly $P \in W_P$ and $W_P \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(A)$. The lemma follows on taking

$$W = U \cup \bigcup_{P \in \mathrm{Span}(A) \setminus U} W_P.$$

□

Lemma 7.3. *Let W be as in Lemma 7.2, and write $\overline{W}$ for its closure. Then $\overline{W}$ is closed under secant operations: if P, $Q \in \overline{W}$ are distinct, and if the line ℓ joining them is not contained in X, then $R \in \overline{W}$ where $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 there exist $\{P_k\}$, $\{Q_k\} \subset W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$, with $P_k \neq Q_k$, that converge respectively to P, Q. Write $F \subset \mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)$ for the Fano scheme of lines on X. Then the real points of F are closed in $\mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$. As $\ell \notin F(\mathbb{R})$, we see for large enough k that the line $\ell_k/\mathbb{Q}$ joining P_k, Q_k is not contained in X. Let $\ell_k \cdot X = P_k + Q_k + R_k$. Then $\{R_k\}$ converges to R. Moreover, P_k, $Q_k \in W \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}(A)$. Hence $R_k \in \mathrm{Span}(A) \subset W$ and so $R \in \overline{W}$. □

Lemma 7.4. *Let A, W be as above. Then $\overline{W} = X(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We claim that $\overline{W}$ is open. From that it follows that $\overline{W}$ is a union of connected components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. As $\mathrm{Span}(A) \subset \overline{W}$ contains points from every component, the lemma follows from the claim.

To prove the claim we mimic the argument in the proof of Lemma 7.2. Let $P \in \overline{W}$. Let $P' \in W$ such that $P' \notin T_P X$, and let ℓ be the line joining P to P'. As $\overline{W}$ is closed under secant operations, $P'' \in \overline{W}$ where $\ell \cdot X = P + P' + P''$. Now there is some non-empty open $W' \subset W$ containing P' that is disjoint from the tangent plane of P''. For a point $R \in W'$, let $\varphi(R)$ denote the third point of intersection of the (real) line joining R to P''. Then the map $\varphi : W' \to X(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and injective, and thus the image $\varphi(W')$ is open. Clearly $\varphi(W')$ contains P and is contained in $\overline{W}$ (as the latter is closed under secant operations). □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A, W be as above. In particular, $\mathrm{Span}(A) = W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\overline{W} = X(\mathbb{R})$. We write $\partial W = X(\mathbb{R}) \setminus W$. We note that ∂W is the complement of an open dense set, and therefore nowhere dense.

We want to show that $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Span}(A)$. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Then there is a Zariski open $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ and an involution $\iota : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$ that sends $R \in \mathcal{U}$ to the third point of the line joining R to P. Choose $R \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}) \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $R \notin \partial W \cup \iota(\partial W)$. Then R, $\iota(R) \in \mathrm{Span}\, A$ and so $P \in \mathrm{Span}(A)$. □

References

[1] T. D. Browning, R. Dietmann and D. R. Heath-Brown, *Rational points on intersections of cubic and quadric hypersurfaces*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **14** (2015), 703–749.

[2] G. E. Bredon, *Geometry and Topology*, GTM **139**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[3] I. Coskun and J. Starr, *Rational curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **24** (2009), 4626–4641.

[4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 3, 355–452.

[5] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: A First Course*, GTM **133**, Springer, 1992.

[6] J. M. Landsberg, *On the second fundamental forms of projective varieties*, Invent. Math. **117** (1994), 303–315.

[7] Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic Forms: Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic*, North-Holland, 1974 and 1986.

[8] L. Schläfli, *An attempt to determine the twenty-seven lines upon a surface of the third order, and to a divide such surfaces into species in reference to the reality of the lines upon the surface*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (1858), 110–120.

[9] S. Siksek, *On the number of Mordell–Weil generators for cubic surfaces*, Journal of Number Theory **132** (2012), 2610–2629.

[10] C. M. Skinner, *Forms over number fields and weak approximation*, Compositio Matematica **106** (1997), 11–29.

[11] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, Second Edition, Springer, 1992.

[12] O. Viro, *Mutual positions of hypersurfaces in projective space*, Geometry of differential equations, 161–176, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 186, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
E-mail address: s.siksek@warwick.ac.uk